

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 11-5304**September Term, 2012****1:11-cv-00402-RCL****Filed On: May 3, 2013**

Orly Taitz, Dr.,

Appellant

v.

Michael James Astrue, Commissioner of the
Social Security Administration,

Appellee

BEFORE: Rogers, Griffith, and Kavanaugh, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the motion to recall mandate, styled as a “motion for reconsideration due to new evidence and decisions”; and the motion styled as a “motion for judgment in favor of the appellant,” it is

ORDERED that the motions be denied. See Goland v. CIA, 607 F.2d 339, 370 (D.C. Cir. 1978) (“[A]n appellate opinion is based on the record before it, and hence cannot be set aside on the basis of newly discovered facts outside the record.”).

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Jennifer M. Clark
Deputy Clerk